



DEPOSIT ACCOUNT CHARGE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:
MOOREHEAD, et. al.

Appln. No.: 09/732,164

Filed: 12/07/00

) Dkt. No.: MOORJ-02

) Examiner: HRUSKOCI, P.A.

) Group Art Unit: 1724

) CONF. NO.: 8992

For: CHEMICAL REMOVAL AND SUSPENDED
SOLIDS SEPARATION PRE-TREATMENT
SYSTEM

Certificate of Express Mailing

Express Mail number EV 200178998 US

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on: **JAN 23 2003**

Date: **JAN 23 2003**


FRANK G. MORKUNAS

RESPONSE / AMENDMENT TO OFFICE ACTION AND EXTENSION REQUEST--DEPOSIT ACCOUNT CHARGE FOR EXTENSION AND FOR FIVE [05] EXCESS CLAIMS

Under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), applicant requests to extend the period for filing a response to the above-identified application for a period of **THREE MONTHS**. Applicant is a small entity, therefore, the fee amount for this extension should be based on said small-entity status.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 50-0382. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

01/27/2003 CNGUYEN 00000021 500382 09732164

01 FC:2202
02 FC:2253

45.00 CH
465.00 CH

02/04/2003 TMOODRUP 00046002 500382 09732164
01 FC:2201
02 FC:2202
42.00 CH
72.00 CH
efas 20

With regard to the Office action of July 25, 2002, which:

[1] objected to the Disclosure due to informalities on page 15 with respect to Figure 6, on page 17 with respect to Figure 7, and on pages 26-28 with respect to Figure 6 and its failure to reference the inlet slots 63;

[2] rejected Claims 63-74 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as being indefinite with regard to Claims 63 and 74 for lack a clear antecedent basis as to "said high-pressure oxygenated ozone-treated water mixture" in Claim 63 and as to "its resultant product" in Claim 74;

[3] rejected Claims 63-74 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being unpatentable over Moorehead, Patent Number 6,332,980 ['980]; and

[4] provisionally rejected Claims 63-74 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 41-48 of co-pending Appln. No. 10/032,397 should such claims [Claims 41-48] mature to a patent; please amend the above-identified application as set forth below.

CORRECTIONS PROTOCOL

The protocol for the additions/deletions/corrections as used herein is as follows: matter being deleted is represented by ~~strike-out~~; matter being added is represented by shading).

IN THE DRAWINGS